Preserve

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1932.
(Mazgai)

File No. 243

Serial Nos. 1 to

Later References

Mag dep no 73-34

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for May 34

SUBJECT.

Lease of land belonging to Sri Hanumantha swamy Temple at Mandagunda in Karkur Taluk.

To The Mag Coorg
no 1464 & 8-5-34
Requesting him to point out
the Sources Course that can
pointed out ~~those~~

1125

Lease of lands belonging to Sri Hanumanthadevaru temple Thandagunda – Shikarpur Tk

General and Revenue Secretariat — Misc File No. 243 of 1933. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
1	Letter No 1094/33-34, dated 11.6.34 From the M.C in Mysore Recommends sanction to the proposal of the D.C-Shimoga that the Inam lands of Sri Hanumanthadevaru temple at Thandagunda Shikarpur taluk, may be leased out to one Channaveera desikhar for 30 years subject to certain conditions, in the interests of the institution – 1. From the records it is seen that the lands in question are continued as Devadaya Inam and it is proposed to renovate the temple building and also to arrange for conduct of Seras in the temple which was declared as defunct by the Sub on officer. To carry out this object it is further proposed to lease out the lands to one Channaveera desikhar for 30 years on condition that he should pay the cesses and jodi due on the lands and arrange for seras in the temple being conducted regularly and also renovate the temple building within four years. 2. A draft G.O. seems to be proposed for sanction AT 14/4 3. The recommendation of the M.C is / Case – 14/4/34 1. Supdt Please speak to me 14/4

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

Serial No.

The lands in question measuring 5 acres & 23 guntas & assessed at Rs. 17-8-0 endowed to Sri Hanumanthar Devaru temple at Thandapuda, Chikanpur Th., which were resumed to Govt. as the institution was declared defunct by the S.D.O. At the time of resumption the lands were in the enjoyment of one Channavera Deshkar of Thofaru on payment of Jodi & Cesses. It is reported that attempts made to leave out the lands for five years proved futile as they are situate in a backward village. On this score, the present recommendation is to lease out the lands to the very same Channavera Deshkar (from whom the lands were resumed) for a period of 30 years subject to his paying the Jodi & Cesses & arranging for the regular conduct of the sevas in the temple & renovating the temple building within 4 years.

6 As the institution has been declared defunct, it is felt that no good purpose would be served by leasing its lands (once resumed) to the same person who was enjoying it before on the conditions represented above which may not after all be fulfilled. On the other hand the probability is that the lessee may derive all the benefit from the lands without the institution being in the least benefitted. It is also doubtful whether it will be possible for the lessee to renovate the temple & arrange for worship therein out of the realisations of the lands. If the lessee fails to comply with the conditions, the lands will again have to be resumed.

In the circumstances it is for orders whether

Lease of Lands belonging to Sri Hanumantha Devaru of Mandapeta – Surrendered.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 2-1-3 of 1932 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

The present recommendation of the M.C is acceptable
1 Secy 20/4/34 In case, the attorney of the Rajah clearly explains the
The M.C. may perhaps be informed
in reply that his present proposal
is not acceptable to Govt.
20/4/34

J Secy)
2. Mr. dr

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 24 APR. 1934 No. 6-9-3-6

24/4

9) This may be pointed out to the M.C & he may be asked to suggest some other course

for
29/4
115

115 A draft reply to the M.C is submitted.
8/5

2 1466 Dated 8-5-1934
The M.C in Mysore
Points out to him the facts mentioned in paras 5-6 above and requests him to suggest some other course

TYPING SECTION GENL & REV SECTT

B Hgs
I 17/5/34

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0431—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

File No. of 193

General and Re
Secretariat

Serial No.		

13.]
No. 1094/33-34
Rec'd file

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 10th April 1934.

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

S. Shanmuna, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

Lease of land belonging to Sri Harihareshwaraswamy temple at Thandagunda, Chikmagalur Taluk.

In forwarding herewith a copy of letter No. Muz.I.T.1906/33-34 dated 10th February 1934 together with the connected records received from the Deputy Commissioner, Chikmagalur District, on the above subject, I have the honor to state that the temple in question is a Village Muzrai Institution enjoying Sy. Nos. 34 and 35 (wet) of Thandagunda village measuring in all 5 acres 20 guntas assessed at R 17-8-0. It is reported that the temple was declared dilapidated by the Sub Division Officer as the building had fallen down and the lands which were in the enjoyment of the Muzrai Desikar of the temple on payment of jodi and cesses were resumed by Government. The attempt made to lease them out for five years last fruitless as they are situate in a Tehsildar village. The Deputy Commissioner, after consulting with the local officers, recommends that the lands may be leased to Channaveera Desikar himself for a period of 20 years subject to the condition that he should pay the cesses and jodi and arrange for the regular conduct of the services in the temple and should also renovate the temple building within two years. The offer of Channaveera Desikar is one which is considered fair as the

case, I request that sanction of Government may be accorded to the De Commissioner's proposal.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

wherein the lands are situated is depopulated and there are no other ryots to bring them under cultivation.

Copy"

[illegible].

For Mungrau Commissioner

Letter No. R.A.1-3.1906/32-33 dated 10-2-34 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

In forwarding herewith the entire file of correspondence ending with Sagar Sub Division Officer's No. Muz.3.21/30-31 dated 16-1-1934 regarding the lease of the inam lands endowed to the Sri Hanumanthadevara temple at Hadagalli, Shikarpur Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows.-

The temple is a village institution endowed with the marginally noted inam lands. The Special Duty Muzrai Auditor during the course of his investigation reported that the temple was in dilapidated condition, that there was no puja for the past 7 or 8 years, that one Thimmappa had kept the Utsavamurty in his house and was worshipping it, that the surroundings of the temple were overgrown with thick jungle, that the lands were in the unauthorised enjoyment of Channaveera Desikar of Sogarni, who was paying the kandayam thereon, and that he undertook to repair the temple and worship in case the lands were continued in his possession. He therefore reported that it might be declared defunct and the lands ordered to be leased out.

The Kurubarahalli Village.		
S.No.31	1-38	0-0
5 "	2-34 "	12-0-0
	5-32	17-3-0
	Total	11.

The Sub Division Officer therefore declared the institution as defunct and directed that the lands might be resumed and leased out and the proceeds credited to the General Muzrai Funds. He did not accept the offer of Channaveera Desikar as it was not definite.

The sale of the lease right of the inam lands proved unsuccessful. One Manjappa Gowda with, wanted to take up the lands on condition of paying the kandayam and constructing the temple and paying a reserve of Rupee 1/- per annum. Channaveera Desikar was subsequently accepted to take them up for a period of 30 years subject to paying kandayam on the lands arranging for the worship and renovating the building in 4 years.

The Sub Division Officer has recommended that the offer of Channaveera Desikar might be accepted as Manjappa is not in a position to invest money on the lands or bring them under cultivation.

Under the circumstances reported by the Sub Division Officer, I recommend that the lands might be leased out to Channaveera Desikar for a period of 30 years on the conditions offered by him as the village

Appendix F G—17

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No. 243-33

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1464 Secretariat file

To The M. C. in ellipse

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1094/33-34 dated 11.4.1934 about lease of lands belonging to Sri Hanumanthu devasthanam temple Thandupuruda Chikapur Tk I am directed to invite that the lands in question measuring 5 acres and 23 guntas and assessed at Rs 17-80 enclosed to the temple were resumed by Govt as the institution was declared defunct by the Sub Div Officer. At the time of resumption the lands were the enjoyment of one Channa

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue ..... 8/5/34 .....

Signed by Mr B Muddu Krishnappa

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 0677—G PB—15,000—9-11-33

Veera Desikar of Thogur. on
Payment of jodi and Cesses.
It is reported that attempts made to
lease out the lands for five years
further justice as they are situate
in a backward village. On this score
the present recommendation is
to lease out the lands to the very
same Chamundeswara Desikar from
whom the lands were resumed for
a period of 30 years subject to
his paying the jodi fees and
allowing for the conduct of the Seva
in the temple and repairing the
temple building within 4 years.

~~In the circumstances~~ As the
matter has been declared
resumed, no good purpose would
be served by leasing it resumed
for only 5 years the same person who
was enjoying them before, on terms
and conditions which may not be agreeable
to him. On the other hand the
probability is that the lessee may

S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

(3)

Should all the benefits from the lands without the villagers being benefitted in the least. It is also doubtful whether it will be possible for the lessee to demolish the temple and arrange for worship therein or to the realisations of the lands. If the lessee fails to comply with the conditions the lands will again have to be resumed

~~In the circumstances~~, I point out to you & ~~state that it is~~ ~~to request you to suggest some other~~ ~~proposal is not acceptable~~ course.

~~To Govt~~

Please

2/575

For K Leaf to u
12/5/1

WE 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23 7 20

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 7th May 1934.

No. 1464 Secretariat file. 8th
Mis 243-33-2

From

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner

in Mysore, B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1094/33-34 dated 11th April 1934 about lease of lands belonging to Sri Hanumantha-devaru temple at Thandagunda, Shikarpur Taluk, I am directed to observe that the lands in question measuring 5 acres and 23 guntas and assessed at Rs.17-8-0 endowed to the temple were resumed to Government as the institution was declared defunct by the Sub Division Officer. At the time of resumption the lands were in the enjoyment of one Channa Veera Desikar of Thogursi on payment of jodi and cesses. It is reported that attempts made to lease out the lands for five years proved futile as they are situate in a boacharak -- village. On this score the present recommendation is to lease out the lands to the very same Channaverra Desikar (from whom the lands were resumed) for a period of 30 years subject to his paying the jodi and cesses and arranging for the regular conduct of the sevas in the temple and renovating the temple building within 4 years.

As the institution has been declared defunct, no good purpose would be served by leasing its resumed lands for the same person who was enjoying them before, on the above conditions which may not after all be fulfilled. On the other hand

the probability is that the lessee may derive all the benefits from the lands without the institution being benefitted in the least. It is also doubtful whether it will be possible for the lessee to renovate the temple and arrange for worship therein out of the realisations of the lands. If the lessee fails to comply with the conditions the lands will again have to be resumed.

I am to point out the above to you and to request you to suggest some other course.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Financial Secretary to Government.

T.S.3